4th March 1955]

சென்னையில் மூன்று லட்சத்திற்கு மேற்பட்ட பாக்டரித் தொழிலாளர்கள் இருக்கிறார்கள். அவர்களுக்காவது வீடு கட்டிக்கொடுப்பதற்கு அரசாங் கத்தார் திட்டம் செய்வார்களா ? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ அரசாங்கம் செய்யக்கூடிய உதவிகளை எல்லாம் இவ்விஷயத்தில் செய்தே வரு கின்றது. ஏற்கெனவே சில பாக்டரிகள் தங்கள் தொழிலாளர் களுக்கு விடுதிகள் கட்டிக் கொடுத்திருக்கின்றன. இருந்தபோதி லும் எவ்வளவுதூரம் சர்க்கார் அந்தச் சட்டத்தைப் பயன் படுத்திக் கொண்டு தொழிலாளர்களுக்கு வீடுகள் கட்டித் தர ஏற்பாடு செய்ய முடியுமோ அவ்வளவையும் செய்யும்.”

SRI S. C. VIRUPAKSHIAH :—“ Sir, if the Government of India subsidy cannot be availed of under the rules for putting up tenements in the Silk Filature, can this Government put up houses for their labourers by themselves? When can we expect this to materialise? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ I have already stated that this Government are examining that aspect. But I cannot say when it will be taken up.”

SRI S. C. C. ANTHONY PILLAI :—“ In view of the reluctance of industrial employers to provide houses for industrial workers, will the Government take steps to introduce legislation requiring employers to set apart a certain percentage of their wages for the purpose of providing housing facilities as has happened in other States? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ I shall examine that suggestion. I have discussed with some industrialists and they have suggested that it must be done by the Co-operative department under the Co-operative system. I have also discussed with the Registrar of Co-operative Societies and he has been examining this.”

SRI M. PALANIYANDI :—“ இண்டஸ்டிரியல் ஹவுசிங் ஸ்கீம்-படி சில முதலாளிகள்தான் கொடுக்கிறார்கள். சில இடங்களில் வீடுகள் கட்டிக் கொடுக்க முன்வந்தாலும் அதில் சில கஷ்டங்கள் இருக்கின்றன. ஒரு வீட்டிற்கு 2,700 ரூபாய். ஆனால் அதில் தொழிலாளர்களுடைய பங்கு 600, 700 ரூபாய் வருகிறது. தொழிலாளர்கள் அதைக்கொடுக்க முடியாமல் இருக்கிறார்கள். ஆகவே சர்க்காரே முழுத்தொகையும் கொடுத்து கூட்டுறவு சொஸைடிகள் மூலம் தொழிலாளர்களுக்கு உதவிசெய்வார்களா ? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ I have already stated that this is being examined as to how the Co-operative department can come into this.”

Reserve fund of Co-operative Stores in South Kanara.

* 1088 *Q.*—SRI M. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR : Will the Hon. the Minister for Health be pleased to state—

(*a*) what the Government propose to do with the reserve fund of co-operative stores that are under orders of liquidation in South Kanara; and

[4th March 1955

(*b*) whether there is any proposal to transfer the fund to the multi-purpose co-operative societies functioning in those areas?

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" (*a*) The reserve fund of the societies that are under liquidation will be utilised for discharging their liabilities, as laid down in Rule XIV of the Rules framed under the Madras Co-operative Societies Act, 1932.

" (*b*) No, Sir.

Admission of Harijans into Parichinikadav temple.

* 1089 *Q.*—SRI E. T. KUNHAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Religious Endowments and Transport be pleased to state whether Harijans are prevented from entering Parichinikadav temple, Chirakkal taluk, North Malabar, for worship?

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN :—" The temple has been declared to be a 'public' temple by the District Court, North Malabar, but the hereditary trustee has appealed to the High Court against this order. The appeal is still pending. Hence the Harijans are admitted as far as the main door of the temple. They are not allowed inside the temple."

MR. SPEAKER :—" So, it is before the Court? "

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—" I will make the position clear, Sir. The Government have declared the Parichinikadav temple as a public temple and therefore, Harijans are entitled to enter the temple. That is the meaning of the declaration and the declaration is still there. But the trustee of the temple has filed a case in the High Court to establish that it is a private temple. My submission is that there is no injunction by the High Court. And therefore, the status quo is maintained."

MR. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member may put it in a question form."

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—" Is it a fact that the Director of the Harijan Welfare Department and the Advocate-General of Madras have given the opinion that since no injunction has been given by the High Court, though a case to establish the private right of the trustee concerned is before it, there is no bar to allow Harijans and why is it that the Government have not taken any action to help Swami Anand Thirtha and Harijans to enter the temple? "

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—" I will make the position clear, Sir. The point is this. When the case is pending before the High Court, certainly we cannot enter into the merits of the case and to that extent certainly this House is not competent to discuss it. But once an order having been passed by the Government under the law and if that particular law is questioned before the High Court or any court of law, pending a decision of the Court, that order